



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,232	05/23/2000	Lundy Lewis	019287-0317258	3633
909 7590 10/30/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				
EXAMINER				
ENGLAND, DAVID E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2443				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/30/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/577,232		LEWIS, LUNDY	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DAVID E. ENGLAND		2443	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DAVID E. ENGLAND.

(2) Syed Jafar Ali Reg. No. 58780.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*46 and 55*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David E. England/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 10:30 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the Attorney of record to discuss the claims and possible amendments to the claims to have them allowed. Examiner stated that the claims would be allowable if they applied a amendment similar in nature that is found in co-pending application 09/577231, i.e., in the specification it states that the invention looks at both domain information and then narrows down to one domain and then one or more component parameters that caused the problem. Claim 55 is the only claim that is close to the limitations found in the co-pending application but it does not state the multiple domain monitoring/ measuring and the determination between them to find the cause of the problem. The Attorney of record stated that they would purpose claim amendments that would reflect the same limitations that would be used in the co-pending application 09/577231 to all of the independent claims using claim 55 as a reference. Examiner awaits the Attorney's claim draft to finalize the amendment.

Furthermore, a terminal disclaimer would have to be filed so Double patenting rejections can be avoided with applications 09/577224, 09577231 and patent 7600007. .